Technical Appendix 11.1: Visual Impact Assessments at VPs

11.1a Visual Receptor Sensitivity

Visual sensitivity is a two-sided analysis of receptor susceptibility (people or groups of people) versus the value of the view on offer at a particular location. To assess the susceptibility of viewers and the amenity value of views, the assessor uses a range of criteria and provides a four-point weighting scale to indicate how strongly the viewer/view is associated with each of the criterion identified in **Section 11.2.5** above.

Table A11.1: Visual Receptor Sensitivity

Scale of Value for each criterion

| Strong association Moderate assoc | | iatio | n | ľ | Mild | asso | ciati | on | | | Negli | igible | e ass | socia | tion | |
|--|-----------------|-------|-----|-----|------|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-------------|--------------|-------|-------|------|------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Values associated with the v | view | VP1 | VP2 | VP3 | VP4 | VP5 | VP6 | VP7 | VP8 | VP9 | VP10 | VP 11 | VP12 | VP13 | VP14 | VP15 |
| Susceptibility of viewers to views | changes in | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recognised scenic value of | the view | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Views from within highly se landscape areas | nsitive | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary views from residen | ces | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intensity of use, popularity (viewers) | number of | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Viewer connection with the | landscape | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Provision of vast, elevated p views | panoramic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sense of remoteness / tranc viewing location | uillity at the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Degree of perceived natural | ness | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Presence of striking or note | worthy features | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sense of Historical, cultural spiritual significance | and / or | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rarity or uniqueness of the | view | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Values associated with the view | VP1 | VP2 | VP3 | VP4 | VP5 | VP6 | VP7 | VP8 | VP9 | VP10 | VP11 | VP12 | VP13 | VP14 | VP15 |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Integrity of the landscape character within the view | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sense of place at the viewing location | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sense of awe | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Overall sensitivity assessment | нм | н | ML | м | н | ML | н | м | н | м | м | м | ML | нм | М |

N = Negligible; L = low sensitivity; ML = medium-low sensitivity M = medium sensitivity; HM = High-medium sensitivity; H = high sensitivity; VH = very high sensitivity

| Values associated with the view | VP16 | VP17 | VP18 | VP19 | VP20 | VP21 | VP22 | VP23 | VP24 | VP25 | VP26 | VP26a | VP27 | VP28 | VP29 |
|---|------|------|------|-------------|-------------|------|-------------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------------|------|------|
| Susceptibility of viewers to changes in views | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recognised scenic value of the view | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Views from within highly sensitive landscape areas | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary views from residences | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intensity of use, popularity (number of viewers) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Viewer connection with the landscape | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Provision of vast, elevated panoramic views | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sense of remoteness / tranquillity at the viewing location | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Degree of perceived naturalness | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Presence of striking or noteworthy features | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sense of Historical, cultural and / or spiritual significance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rarity or uniqueness of the view | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrity of the landscape character within the view | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sense of place at the viewing location | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Values associated with the view | VP16 | VP17 | VP18 | VP19 | VP20 | VP21 | VP22 | VP23 | VP24 | VP25 | VP26 | VP26a | VP27 | VP28 | VP29 |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------------|------|------|
| Sense of awe | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Overall sensitivity assessment | ML | ML | L | ML | ML | ML | L | ML | ML | ML | VH | н | L | М | ML |

11.1b Magnitude of Visual Effects at Viewshed Reference Points

| Viewshed F | | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine: | Number of turbine nacelles visible: | | | | | |
|---|----------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| VP1 | Local R | oad at Meenagrauv | SSW | 17.4 km | 13 | | | | | |
| Representa | tive of: | Cumulative views | 3 | | 1 | | | | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | High medium | | | | | | | | |
| Existing Vie | ew | This is a vast and elevated Meenanilta Windfarm, whi a large area of marginal gu way to extensive commerd A settled agricultural valley range dominates the south out on the skyline ridge at flatter skyline further south | ch lies to the rear of th razing in the descendir cial conifer plantations y lies to the east, whils hward view. The existir a point where steeply | e viewer at this location of foreground slopes, with some improved g t the rugged profile of ong Barnesmore turbing undulating peaks give | on. The view takes in which soon gives grassland between. the Bluestacks es can just be made | | | | | |
| Visual Impa Repowered Barnesmor Windfarm | l | The proposed repower turbines will rise to a noticeably greater height above the skyline than their existing counterparts. The proposed turbines will also be seen with a relatively low degree of contrast against the sky but the larger and thicker components will be more discernible. Though there are fewer proposed turbines than existing ones the visual presence of the development is increased and it is more likely to draw the eye, albeit as a distant background feature of a vast panorama. Whereas the existing turbines are deemed to have a minimal visual presence in this view, the proposed turbines have a visual presence in the order of sub-dominant to minimal. | | | | | | | | |
| | | Aesthetically there is a min turbines, but the array is g underlying section of ridge the considerable viewing of Overall, the magnitude of v Low-negligible. | enerally evenly spaced Any intricacies of turb distance. | d and the profile mimic bine spacing tend to b | c that of the e strongly diluted by | | | | | |
| Summary | | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | | | | | |
| | | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance of | of Visual Impact | | | | | |
| | | High medium | Low-negligible | Slight-imperc | eptible | | | | | |

| Viewshed | Reference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|--|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| VP2 | Donega | l Way - Slí na Finne at Kilrean | S | 14.6 km | 0 |
| Represent | ative of: | An area of EspecialAn Amenity feature | | I nity in the CDP | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | , | High | | | |
| Existing V | iew | This is a striking view of the I heart of the mountains from i middle ground of this view cc loosely defined by a scrubby transitional slopes and moun | ts more moderate fa onsists of an upland tree-lined hedgerov | armed slopes to the r valley of good and m v pattern. Some fores | northeast. The fore-to- narginal grazing stry occurs on steepe |
| Visual Imp Repowere Barnesmo Windfarm | d | Only minute blade tips from a potentially visible from here a be noticed by a casual obser visual amenity enjoyed from The magnitude of visual impart | above the undulating ver and will consequ within this valley and | g skyline ridge. These uently have no mater d particularly from the | e are very unlikely to ial impact on the |
| Summary | | Based on the assessment cri of visual impact is summarise | | putlined in section 11 | .2.6.2 the significance |
| | | - | 'isual Impact Iagnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact |
| | | High N | legligible | Imperceptibl | e |

| Viewshed | Reference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|---|----------------------|--|---|
| VP3 | N15 at E | Ballybofey | SW | 15.8 km | 7 |
| Represent Receptor Sensitivity | | Centre of Population Major route Medium low | | | |
| Existing V | iew | This is a relatively channelled an its southward approach into the s lower portions of the valley down of the road by mature broadleaf t | ettlement of Ba | llybofey, which generate view is contained o | ally occupies the n the left hand side |

| Viewshed Reference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Above and between the to of distant skyline ridge car | • | • | the road, sections | | | | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | This is an 'illustrative view' where even the wireframe image is indicating limited potential visibility (partial blade sets) of the proposed turbines above the distant skyline ridge. In reality (photomontage view), these turbines are fully screened by foreground vegetation and will have even less potential for visibility further downslope towards the centre of the village. Thus, the magnitude of visual impact is deemed to be negligible from here. | | | | | | | |
| Summary | Based on the assessment of visual impact is summa | | outlined in section 11. | 2.6.2 the significance | | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Significance of Visual Impact Magnitude | | | | | | |
| | Medium low | Negligible | Imperceptible |) | | | | |

| Viewshed R | eference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| VP4 | Local roa Site | d junction with N15 Northwest of SE 2.2 km 4 | | | | | | | |
| Representa | tive of: | Local Community viewsMajor route | | | | | | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | Medium | | | | | | | |
| Existing View This is a cross-valley, uphill view from just south of the Barnesmore Gap. This is or several local access roads that spur from the N15 national road between Barnesmore and Donegal Town and there is a modest number of dwellings (around 30) that enj similar easterly views across the valley. Beyond the N15 carriageway in the foregroup a band of mature riparian vegetation tracking the watercourse at the base of the var Rising above this vegetation is a combination of conifer plantation to the right and remountain moorland to the left. The view is contained by the near skyline of the Croaghnameal uplands, whereupon around 8 of the existing Barnesmore wind turb rise to varying degrees and at a modest scale in silhouette against the sky. | | | | | | | | | |
| Visual Impa Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | | A similar number of the proposed same section of skyline as their e revealed compared to three blade repowering turbines are noticeab from the brow of the ridge compa envelope is not drastically increas | existing counterp e sets from the e ly larger in scale red to the neare | parts, albeit only one f existing Windfarm. Th e, however, due to the est existing turbines, th | ull blade set is e proposed ir further setback ne overall visual | | | | |

| Viewshed Refere | ence Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|-----------------|---|---|--|---|
| | dominant within this vie Aesthetically, the existing three blade sets lends in other turbines rotating a proposed turbines will r increased size of the pr context – certainly no r context. | in the order of sub-dominew. Ing turbines are marginally more legibility to the array against the skyline. By cor rotate against the skyline r roposed turbines appears nore than the existing turb d above, the magnitude o urbines is only considered | preferable because and draws attention mparison, all but one idge. It is not consid over-scaled in this b ines appear under-s f visual impact of the | the clear visibility of from the blades of of the visible ered that the road landscape caled in the same |
| Summary | Based on the assessme of visual impact is sum | ent criteria and matrices o marised below. | outlined in section 11 | .2.6.2 the significance |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact |
| | Medium | Low | Slight | |

| Viewshed R | eference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| VP5 | N15 Sce | nic View at Lough Mourne | SSW | 6.8 km | 9 | | | | |
| Representat | tive of: | A designated scenic viewMajor route | W | | | | | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | High | | | | | | | |
| Existing Vie | w | This is a view from the N15 betwee Lough Mourne which occupies the noteworthy in the middle distance which presents as a noticeable vo the south are mixed slopes of cor- moorland topped by the partial bla turbines. These turbines sit on a domed peak that marks the left fla- the steeply ascending slopes of the | e lion's share of beyond the Lo bid in the undula mmercial conifer ade sets of arou comparatively fl ank of Barnesm | f the fore-to-middle gro ugh is the distinctive E ating skyline. Also bey r plantation and rugge und 8 of the Barnesmo at section of the ridge ore Gap - the other sid | Barnesmore Gap, ond the Lough to d mountain ore Windfarm to the left of a | | | | |
| Visual Impa Repowered | ct of | The proposed turbines will be cor the basis that more of them are v and horizontal visual envelope ar muscular form in silhouette again | isible (12), they nd the larger turk | have a considerably i | ncreased vertical e a generally more | | | | |

| Viewshed Refere | nce Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Barnesmore Windfarm | dominant visual present Aesthetically, although also have improved leg skyline and a profile the minor degree of visual In a thematic sense, the development within a so other than the contribu- turbines appear over-so In terms of the integrity proposed turbine lies just serves as the marker as subservient to the same proposed windfarm un landscape feature. | order of sub-dominant will nee within this scene. If the larger proposed turbin gibility with the majority of at broadly matches that of clutter associated with the scene that is otherwise cha tion of the existing Barnes iscaled within the context of y of Barnesmore Gap, it is ust to the left of the base of and sentry to the Gap. The sed duly imposes on, or complete h visual impact from the pr | hes have a stronger with blade sets rotating the subtly undulating overlapping of some ase the scale and int aracterised by little su more turbines. That this broad upland la important to note that of the distinctive dome profile of the windfa reasons, it is not con romises, the integrity | visual imprint, they og freely above the g ridgeline. There is a e turbines. ensity of built uch development is not to say that the ndscape. It the nearest e shaped peak that rm also remains isidered that the of this iconic |
| Summary | Based on the assessm of visual impact is sum | nent criteria and matrices c marised below. | putlined in section 11 | .2.6.2 the significance |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact |
| | High | Medium low | Moderate | |

| Viewshed R | eference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|---|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| VP6 | VP6 Local road at Meenbog | | SW | 6.9 km | 10 |
| Representative of: • Local Community View | | | | | |
| Receptor Medium Iow Sensitivity | | | | | |
| Existing View | | This is a south-westerly view acro that comprises of a mix of marshy slopes of marginal farmland, fore seen on the middle distance skyli here. | y scrub in the lo stry and blanket | wer reaches surround t bog. Several undulat | ed by moderate ing peaks can be |

| Viewshed Referenc | e Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | ridge in the middle dista in a consolidated group upland plateau context relatively undeveloped the order of co-dominal Aesthetically, there are skyline ridge, but these relatively even spacing underlying ridge. Althou this view, wind turbines Donegal and they do no | ed turbines blade sets will ance along with the blades o at a relatively modest sca . The turbines will be a dis scene and overall, they ar nt to sub-dominant. e some minor instances of a attributes tend to be dilute of turbines, which also ha ugh the turbines will increas s are a familiar feature of th ot appear out of place in the ed above, the magnitude o | s of a further 2-3 turb ale from this distance stinctive built feature re considered to have turbine overlap and l ed by the consolidate ave a profile that is co ase the intensity of b he upland landscape his scene. | and in this broad and in this broad in this otherwise a visual presence in blades rotating on the ed grouping and bonsistent with the uilt development in s in this part of | |
| Summary | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | |
| | Medium low | Low | Slight | | |

| Viewshed Reference Point | | | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|---|----------|--|---|--|--|
| VP7 | Bluestad | ks Way at Sallows | ESE | 17.3 km | 0 |
| Representative of: • An area of Especially H • An Amenity feature (Do | | | - | hity in the CDP | |
| Receptor High Sensitivity | | | | | |
| Existing View This is a vast panoramic view from the south-western extents of the Bluestack which shares many similarities with VP2, which is from the opposite end of the The lower fore-to-middle ground consists of marginal upland grazing on steep extensive conifer plantations taking over on flatter and likely poorly drained gr base of the valley. Beyond is a series of south-westerly declining ridges with a combination of extensive land use and very light settlement pattern. In the far be seen the turbines from the Meenadreen Windfarm straddling the skyline ridge | | | d of the Bluestacks. n steep slopes with ined ground in the s with a similar the far distance can | | |
| Visual Impa Repowered | ct of | The full blade of one turbine and skyline ridge to the left of the Mee screening and in the context of th noticed by a casual observer. If the | enadreen turbine is vast vista, the | es. At this distance, w e proposed turbines a | ith this degree of re unlikely to be |

| Viewshed Refere | nce Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | |
|------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Barnesmore Windfarm | might there be any ser Meenadreen turbines presence or the lack o visual impact is judged | small part of the distant Meenadreen Windfarm development. Only on close inspection might there be any sense of scale / context confusion with the smaller and more distant Meenadreen turbines – i.e. that they are separate developments. Nonetheless, visual presence or the lack of it is the key consideration here and consequently, the magniture visual impact is judged to be negligible. | | | | |
| Summary | | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | | |
| | High | Negligible | Imperceptibl | e | | |

| Viewshed | Reference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|--|-----------|---|---|---|---|--|
| VP8 | Local R | oad at Toughboy | SW | 8.6 km | 9 | |
| Representa | ative of: | Local Community Vie | ews | | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | Medium | | | | |
| Existing Vi | ew | This is a sweeping panoramic contained in a matrix of natura grazing in regular geometric fi substantially contains the wes range in the distance. The exi screening by the middle distant | al grassland in the f elds across the mid terly view can be s sting Barnesmore \ | foreground and fores ddle ground. Beyond een the tallest peaks | try and improved a forested ridge that of the Bluestacks | |
| Visual Imp Repowered Barnesmon Windfarm | d | Seven of the proposed repow middle distance skyline. Thes modest scale from this viewin landscape where only a few is landscape. However they are broad view. On balance their | e reveal partial blac g distance. The tur solated farmsteads considerably scree | de sets in silhouette a bines are a distinctive appear among the fa ened and only a mode | above the skyline at a e built feature in this armed and forested est scale feature of a | |
| | | Aesthetically, the view of partial turbine blade sets rotating on the forested skyline is not as legible as a more open view of full blade sets might be, this is balanced by their modest scale contribution to the overall view. The section of skyline in question is not particularly distinctive and the view of the Bluestacks peaks remains unimpeded. | | | | |
| | | Overall, the magnitude of visu | al impact is deeme | ed to be Low. | | |
| Summary | | Based on the assessment crit of visual impact is summarise | | outlined in section 11 | .2.6.2 the significance | |

| Viewshed Reference | Point | | Direction of View | tance to rest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|-----|----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | | al Impact hitude | Significance o | of Visual Impact |
| | Medium | Low | | Slight | |

| Viewshed Reference Point | | | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|--|-----------|---|---|--|--|
| VP9 | Bluestac | k Way at Greenan | ESE | 8.3 km | 13 |
| Represent | ative of: | Designated scenic view Amenity and Heritage Ferral | eature | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | , | High | | | |
| Existing V | iew | This is a broad and picturesque we Bluestacks range on the Bluestack slopes in the foreground consist of occasional dwellings. Lough Eske on the hummocky opposing slope rural residences concentrated and beyond and the turbines of the Ba left of the slightly larger, but more Windfarm further along the same | the Way national of marginal graz to in the lower m to can be seen bound the lough. arnesmore Wind substantially so | I way-marked route. T ing forestry and wood iddle ground is the foc an intricate network of A separate moorland dfarm are tightly stack | The descending land with only cus of this view and f fields, treelines and ridge rises further ed above it to the |
| Visual Imp Repowere Barnesmo Windfarm | d | The proposed repowering turbine generally rising above the skyline their existing counterparts and ind turbines present at a scale that co broad view and in the context of I the visual presence of the proposi dominant. | ridge. They are deed, the Meena ommands attent ough Eske, wh ed turbines is d urbine overlap th | e seen at a considerat adreen turbines furthe tion, but does not dom ich remains the focus eemed to be co-domin hat generate a small c | bly larger scale than er to the south. The ninate in such a of the view. Thus, nant to sub- legree of visual |
| | | clutter. The proposed windfarm has a strong degree of legibility with its turbines rising and rotating freely above the skyline with a gently undulating profile that mimics the underlying ridge. From this distance, the three-dimensional layout is lost to the viewer and the arrangement appears linear. However, this is acceptable because the depth of the plateau site is also less apparent than the strong linear ridge that hosts the turbines. | | | |
| | | The repowered windfarm will repl many existing turbines and will fo complex vista across a landscape measure. The most important fea range to the north and Lough Esk | rm a visually an e that is settled, tures of the viev | d thematically legible managed and natural w, being the peaks of | backdrop to this istic in equal the Bluestacks |

| Viewshed Refere | ence Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|-----------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Summary | energy development is For the reasons outline low. Based on the assessm | intruded upon by the proposed turbines, which lie in a section of the view where win energy development is already and established feature. For the reasons outlined above, the magnitude of visual impact is deemed to be Medlow. Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significant sectio | | | |
| | of visual impact is sum Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | |
| | High | Medium low | Moderate | | |

| Viewshed Reference Point | | | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|---|-----------|---|---|---|--|--|
| VP10 | Local ro | ad at Tawnaghlahan | E | 3.9 km | 11 | |
| Represent | ative of: | Local Community View | vs | | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | Medium | | | | |
| Existing V | iew | This is an elevated vista from a very quiet local access road to the north of Lough Eske. Unlike most of the dwellings that line local roads in the vicinity, the small number of dwellings served by this road are not arranged to take in views across the Lough. Instead they avail of cross-valley views to the southeast and down-valley views to the southwest. The foreground context consists of early stage mixed species woodland with rugged mountain moorland emerging on the opposing slopes of the valley. On the higher and more undulating sections of the opposing ridgeline, above and between foreground treetops, can be seen around 8 of the turbines of the Barnesmore Windfarm. | | | | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | | The proposed repowering turbin counterparts when viewed from development has a taller vertica Nonetheless, the proposed turb down-valley viewing directions to considered to have a subdomin proposed repower windfarm inco dominant. Aesthetically, the proposed wind 4-5 blade sets rotating freely ab beyond, which rotate on the sky their broad upland context and to underlying ridge. These attribute | here as there is al visual envelope ines still remain p to the south. Whe ant to minimal vis reases visual pre- dfarm is seen in a vove the skyline a vline. The turbines the profile of the s | more of them visible and longer lateral ex- peripheral to the main areas the existing Bar sual presence within esence to between co an unambiguous mar and thereby improving s are not dominating scheme matches tha | (all 13) and the ktent to the south. In cross-valley and rnesmore Windfarm is this broad vista, the o-dominant and sub- | |

| Viewshed Refer | ence Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|----------------|---|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Summary | for such landscapes in Overall, the magnitude | evelopment within this quie this part of Donegal. of visual impact is deeme ment criteria and matrices c | d to be Medium low. | |
| | of visual impact is sum | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | of Visual Impact | | |
| | Medium | Medium low | Moderate slig | ht |

| Viewshed | Reference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|--|-----------|--|--|--|---|
| VP11 | Biddy C | 'Barnes Public House | SE | 2.1 km | 3 |
| Represent | ative of: | Local Community View | /S | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | Medium | | | |
| Existing ViewThis is a relatively enclosed setting deep within the Lowerymore River valley southern end of Barnesmore Gap. It is immediately outside Biddy O'Banes p something of a local landmark having served as an Inn and refuge for those through the Gap for hundreds of years. In the immediate foreground is matu vegetation lining the Lowerymore River the base of the valley with conifer pla above. The uphill view to the southeast takes in steep and rugged mountain topped by around 6 of the existing Barnesmore turbines, which rise above the to varying degrees. | | | | Banes pub, which is r those travelling s mature riparian nifer planting just puntain moorland | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | | One full blade set, two partial bl turbines can be seen rising abo existing counterparts. The latera comparable as is the partial deg turbines are of a considerably la is noticeably increased. The inter that fewer turbines from the pro compared to the existing windfa increased from sub-dominant co Aesthetically, it is considered th skyline ridge is marginally prefe rising sporadically and to differin noticeable increase in the scale setting. | ve the skyline rid al extent of the ex- gree to which turb arger scale and the ensity of developing posed developing rm, but the visual p-dominant. at the simple view rable to the less ing degrees above | ge at a more promine kisting and proposed bines are visible. How hus, the vertical enve ment is somewhat ba ent can be seen about I presence is still cor w of three larger blad cohesive view of the e the ridgeline. Howe | ent scale than their schemes is vever, the proposed lope of development alanced by the fact ve the ridge asidered to be le sets above the existing turbines ever, there is a |

| Viewshed Refere | ence Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Summary | situation, but only to a | On balance, the magnitude of visual impact is deemed to increase from the baseline situation, but only to a Low degree. Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the signitive section 11.2.6.2 the section | | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | | |
| | Medium | Low | Slight | | | |

| | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Meenagran | E | 19.3 km | 9 | |
| Major route | I | I | | |
| Medium | | | | |
| western end of the Bluestacks ran the Bluestacks Way enters briefly to the southeast consists of a bro the foreground with forest plantat ground. A series of long southwe moorland stack together in persp | ticks range. This viewpoint is also slightly to the south of where s briefly onto this relatively busy regional road. The downhill view of a broad and gently undulating tapestry of marginal grazing in plantations and more regular farmed fields emerging on lower buthwest declining ridgelines cloaked in forestry and mountain perspective and on the furthest of these can be seen numerous | | | |
| nearer skyline ridge than the one slightly further to the right. It shou skyline ridge they appear above - screened. The proposed turbines backdrop of sky which will offer a vista, which includes the main Blu south, the proposed windfarm has The view of multiple turbine blade a skyline ridge is not ideal in an a by viewing distance and the low of turbines are already an establishe between the key aspects of the view | hosting the Mea Id be noted that - they occupy th present partial low degree of v uestacks peaks s a minimal visu e sets overlappir esthetic sense, degree of contra ed feature of this iew, being the m | enadreen Windfarm, w t the turbines do not a ne one beyond, which blade sets at a small s visual contrast. In the o to the north and seaw ial presence. Ing with each other wh however, such effects ist against the sky. Fu is section of the distan nountains and the sea | which emerges ctually occupy the is otherwise scale, against a context of this vast ard views to the ilst also rotating on a are strongly diluted rthermore, wind t view, which lies | |
| | Major route Medium This is a vast panoramic view for western end of the Bluestacks rat the Bluestacks Way enters briefly to the southeast consists of a bro the foreground with forest plantat ground. A series of long southwe moorland stack together in perspi- turbines from the extensive Meen not discernible from here. All of the proposed turbines will b nearer skyline ridge than the one slightly further to the right. It shou skyline ridge they appear above - screened. The proposed turbines backdrop of sky which will offer a vista, which includes the main Blu south, the proposed windfarm had The view of multiple turbine blade a skyline ridge is not ideal in an a by viewing distance and the low of turbines are already an established between the key aspects of the view | Major route Medium This is a vast panoramic view for those travelling western end of the Bluestacks range. This viewp the Bluestacks Way enters briefly onto this relative to the southeast consists of a broad and gently ut the foreground with forest plantations and more reground. A series of long southwest declining ridg moorland stack together in perspective and on the turbines from the extensive Meenadreen Windfate not discernible from here. All of the proposed turbines will be potentially vis nearer skyline ridge than the one hosting the Measilightly further to the right. It should be noted that skyline ridge they appear above – they occupy the screened. The proposed turbines present partial backdrop of sky which will offer a low degree of wista, which includes the main Bluestacks peaks south, the proposed windfarm has a minimal visu. The view of multiple turbine blade sets overlapping a skyline ridge is not ideal in an aesthetic sense, by viewing distance and the low degree of contrat turbines are already an established feature of this between the key aspects of the view, being the main blue turbine blade sets. | Major route Medium This is a vast panoramic view for those travelling south on the R262 as western end of the Bluestacks range. This viewpoint is also slightly to t the Bluestacks Way enters briefly onto this relatively busy regional road to the southeast consists of a broad and gently undulating tapestry of r the foreground with forest plantations and more regular farmed fields e ground. A series of long southwest declining ridgelines cloaked in forest moorland stack together in perspective and on the furthest of these can turbines from the extensive Meenadreen Windfarm. The existing Barne | |

| Viewshed Refere | ence Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Summary | | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | | | |
| | Medium | Low negligible | Slight-impero | ceptible | | | |

| | | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| B72 at k | Killen | W | 19.6 km | 13 |
| ative of: | Major route Centre of Population (K Medium Low | (illen) | | |
| | | | | |
| Existing View This is a broad lowland vista to the southwest across rolling farmland. There is pastoral character within the fore-to-middle ground context due to the tapestry hedgerows and the subtle containment by a nearby hilltop. In the far distance of a rolling ridge of predominantly commercial forestry with the peaks of the Blues visible even further beyond. Some of the turbines from both the Meenadreen a Barnesmore Windfarms are faintly discernible above the forested section of skew | | | | tapestry of fields and distance can be seen the Bluestacks range adreen and |
| act of I e | forested ridgeline just to the righ barely discernible turbines, they backdrop of sky. The proposed t this distance and with a reasona Meenadreen turbines due to the further away. In the context of th have a sub-dominant visual impa- visual presence. This is a relatively clear and corr | t of the visible M will have a low of urbines are seen ble lateral exten- ir relative elevati is broad scene, f act where their e | eenadreen turbines. legree of visual contr n at a modest, but no t. They appear margi on and height and de the proposed turbine xisting counterparts l | Like the existing and ast against the ticeable scale from nally taller than the espite being slightly s are considered to have only a minimal bines lining the ridge |
| | turbines contributing to a minor of Meenadreen turbines and if the form part of a singular developm inconsistent with the nature of th backdrop of wind turbines. | degree of visual atter are even n ent. The propos is productive rur | clutter. They are mor oticed, the perception ed turbines do not ap al scene which alrea | e noticeable than the n will be that they all opear at all dy contains a distant |
| | ew | Centre of Population (K Medium Low This is a broad lowland vista to t pastoral character within the fore hedgerows and the subtle contai a rolling ridge of predominantly of visible even further beyond. Som Barnesmore Windfarms are faint All of the proposed turbines are forested ridgeline just to the righ barely discernible turbines, they backdrop of sky. The proposed t this distance and with a reasona Meenadreen turbines due to the further away. In the context of th have a sub-dominant visual impavisual presence. This is a relatively clear and com in series of loosely spaced linear turbines and if the I form part of a singular developm inconsistent with the nature of th backdrop of wind turbines. | Intive of: • Major route • Centre of Population (Killen) Medium Low ew This is a broad lowland vista to the southwest ac pastoral character within the fore-to-middle group hedgerows and the subtle containment by a neal a rolling ridge of predominantly commercial fores visible even further beyond. Some of the turbines Barnesmore Windfarms are faintly discernible ab the forested ridgeline just to the right of the visible M barely discernible turbines, they will have a low or backdrop of sky. The proposed turbines are seer this distance and with a reasonable lateral exten Meenadreen turbines due to their relative elevatif further away. In the context of this broad scene, i have a sub-dominant visual impact where their e visual presence. This is a relatively clear and comprehensible view in series of loosely spaced linear clusters, albeit turbines contributing to a minor degree of visual Meenadreen turbines and if the latter are even n form part of a singular development. The propos inconsistent with the nature of this productive rur backdrop of wind turbines. | tive of: • Major route • Centre of Population (Killen) Medium Low ew This is a broad lowland vista to the southwest across rolling farmland. pastoral character within the fore-to-middle ground context due to the hedgerows and the subtle containment by a nearby hilltop. In the far of a rolling ridge of predominantly commercial forestry with the peaks of visible even further beyond. Some of the turbines from both the Meen Barnesmore Windfarms are faintly discernible above the forested sec act of All of the proposed turbines are visible from here to varying degrees a forested ridgeline just to the right of the visible Meenadreen turbines. barely discernible turbines, they will have a low degree of visual contr backdrop of sky. The proposed turbines are seen at a modest, but no this distance and with a reasonable lateral extent. They appear margi Meenadreen turbines due to their relative elevation and height and de further away. In the context of this broad scene, the proposed turbines have a sub-dominant visual impact where their existing counterparts I visual presence. This is a relatively clear and comprehensible view of the proposed tur in series of loosely spaced linear clusters, albeit with some overlap be turbines contributing to a minor degree of visual clutter. They are mor Meenadreen turbines and if the latter are even noticed, the perceptior form part of a singular development. The proposed turbines do not ap inconsistent with the nature of this productive rural scene which alread inconsistent with the nature of this productive rural scene which alread inconsistent with the nature of this productive rural scene which alread inconsistent with the nature of this productive rural scene which alread inconsistent with the nature of this productive rural scene which al |

| Viewshed Refer | ence Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Summary | | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | | |
| | Medium low | Low-negligible | Slight-imperc | ceptible | | |

| Viewshed I | Reference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|--|-----------|--|--|--|---|
| VP14 | Bluesta | cks Way at Lough Eske | E | 7.1 km | 12 |
| Representa | ative of: | Amenity and Heritage fe | eature | 1 | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | High medium | | | |
| Existing Vi | ew | Beyond the substantial waterbod a low hummocky hillside and ride dwellings that take advantage of contain small agricultural fields p as amenity and shelter for the nu moorland slopes and undulating can be seen around half of the tu degrees of exposure from full tur | broad vista directly across Lough Eske from its western bank looking east. The substantial waterbody that dominates the fore-to-middle ground of the scen amocky hillside and ridge that hosts a dense scattering of rural residential that take advantage of opposing views across the Lough. These slopes also nall agricultural fields patches of woodland and coniferous tree lines that serve y and shelter for the numerous residences. Further beyond are the higher slopes and undulating ridge of the Croaghnameal uplands. Upon this ridgelin en around half of the turbines from the Barnesmore Windfarm with varying of exposure from full turbines to blade tips. Several of the turbines from the een also rise just above the skyline ridge further to the south (left). | | |
| Visual Imp Repowered Barnesmol Windfarm | ł | All of the proposed repowering tu counterparts, some will be fully v turbines will occupy a broader lat envelope than the existing windfa existing windfarm it is not proport the backdrop to the main focus o presence of the proposed windfa whereas the existing turbines are | risible whilst othe teral extent as w arm. Despite hav tionally dominan of this view which arm is considered | ers reveal only blade vell as a considerably ving a stronger visua at on the skyline ridge n is Lough Eske. Ove d to be co-dominant v | tips. The proposed higher vertical I presence than the and forms part of rall the visual |
| | | Aside from two overlapping clust reasonably rhythmic when viewe of the underlying ridge. The inter but it is not a new element within middle ground context of Lough I Contextually, the proposed turbir landscape setting, however, ther ridge feels slightly closer with its turbines. | d from here and nsity of wind ene this scene and Eske and its sur hes do not appea e is a slightly str | I the undulating profile orgy development is n does not unduly detra rounding slopes of se ar out of place or out ronger sense of enclo | e matches the form noticeably increased, act from the fore-to- ettled farmland. of scale in this osure and the skyline |

| Viewshed Refere | nce Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|-----------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--|
| Summary | For the reasons outline low. Based on the assessm of visual impact is sum | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity High medium | Visual Impact Magnitude Medium Iow | Significance | of Visual Impact |

| Viewshed R | eference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| VP15 | N15 So | uth-west of Site | ENE | 5.5 km | 10 |
| Representa | tive of: | A Designated Scenic F A major route | Route | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | Medium | | | |
| Existing Vie | Existing ViewThis is a relatively channelled view along the corridor of the N15 for northbound r just short of the Lough Eske turnoff, the signs for which can be seen on the left ha of the road around 100 m away. The road is enclosed on both sides by a linear b mature woodland, however this elevated section of the road affords partial views extensive landscape of first settled farmland and then mountain moorland beyond east. On the undulating skyline ridge can be seen around 16 of the Barnesmore t a modest scale. Aside from the nearest 2-3 turbines, which are fully revealed, the remainder tend to expose only blade sets and partial blade sets. | | | | on the left hand side by a linear band of artial views of a more land beyond to the arnesmore turbines at |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | | Most of the proposed turbines at than the existing turbines and w blade sets of around half of the partial blade sets of the others of the attention of viewers than the proposed turbines is deemed to sub-dominant visual presence of Aesthetically, the proposed turbines of However these attributes are bas cohesive and a profile that is con- energy development is increase skyline ridge that has hosted with | with a slightly wide turbines will rotat can be seen. The eir existing counter be co-dominant of the existing turk ines generate so rerlapping and bla alanced by a layo insistent with the ed, but in the cont | er lateral extent along te freely above the sl proposed turbines a erparts. The visual pr within this visual sett bines. me visual clutter and ades rotating on the s ut that is reasonably underlying ridge. The text of a busy nationa | the ridge. The full cyline, whilst only re more likely to draw esence of the ing compared to the disharmony due to skyline ridge. rhythmic and e intensity of wind |

| Viewshed Referenc | e Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|-------------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Summary | Overall, the magnitude of visual impact is deemed to be Medium low. Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the signific of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | |
| | Medium | Medium low | Moderate sli | ght | |

| Viewshed Reference | | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|---|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| VP16 | Local R | oad at Barnesmore | E | 3.5 km | 9 |
| Representa | ative of: | Local Community View | vs | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | Medium low | | | |
| Existing ViewThis view represents a cluster of dwellings that back into a rock of steep slopes that lead up to the Barnesmore Windfarm site and w uplands. The principle view for which they are oriented is to the ne wooded valley that is framed by the distinctive Barnesmore Gap to east of the Gap along the rugged and undulating ridge can be see turbines from the Barnesmore Windfarm in silhouette against the within this section of the view is a 110kV overhead line that crossed | | | ndfarm site and widen iented is to the north arnesmore Gap to th ridge can be seen a uette against the sky. | Croaghnameal across a farmed and e northeast. Further round 6 of the More prominent | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | | Most of the proposed turbines w ridgeline as the existing Barness scale due to their considerably alignment of the road they are p The proposed windfarm is considevelopment at the Barnesmore There is a minor degree of visu couple of instances of turbines electricity pylon. There are also Otherwise, the turbines are see with the topography below them framing element to the principle visually amenity enjoyed at this development is an intensificatio introduction of it. | more turbines. He greater height. W beripheral to the p idered to increase e site from sub-do al clutter generate overlapping with o several instances n in a cohesive lin h. Importantly, it is viewing direction location. Furthern n of such develop | owever they are seen hilst the turbines are principle view from su e the visual presence ominant to co-domina- ed by the proposed to each other and also v s of blades rotating of near group with a pro- s considered that the n and not one that un more, the proposed ro- poment within this sce | at a more prominent close to the irrounding dwellings. e of wind energy ant within this setting. urbines due to a with the foreground in the skyline. ofile that is consistent turbines are a duly detracts from the epowering |
| | | Overall, the magnitude of visua | l impact is judged | to be Medium low. | |

| Viewshed Refer | ence Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | | |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Summary | | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | | | |
| | Medium low | Medium low | Moderate slig | ght | | | |

| Viewshed F | Reference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|--|-----------|--|--|--------------------------------|--|
| VP17 | Causew | vay Walking route at Killeter | W | 15.9 km | 13 |
| Representa | ative of: | A recreational amenity A centre of population Medium low | feature | | |
| Receptor Medium fow Sensitivity This is a broad view across the flat base of a farmed valley just outside the vil Killeter. In the immediate foreground is a detached dwelling that is afforded th as from the road across pastoral fields defined by predominantly post and wire the valley floor and hedgerows on the modest slopes that rise in the middle di throughout the north-western quarters. Forestry is more prevalent on the high and ridges further to the west. Turbines from the nearby Church Hill Windfarm rising above the farmed ridge to the northwest. | | | orded the same view and wire fencing in niddle distance to the higher slopes | | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered BarnesmoreMost of the proposed turbines will be seen rising in silhouette beyond a middle of forested ridgeline to varying degrees. They are seen at a modest scale from this and will present with a low degree of contrast against the sky even in clear view conditions. Consequently, the visual presence of the Development is deemed to dominant.WindfarmAesthetically, there is some visual clutter generated by a series of overlapping t However, such effects are strongly diluted by the considerable viewing distance profile of the development follows that of the underlying ridge even though it is r ridge upon which they actually sit. This is a varied view across settled and product farmland that also includes other wind farms and forestry and thus, the distant p turbines do not appear out of scale or context within this scene. | | | le from this distance clear viewing deemed to be sub- erlapping turbines. g distance and the ough it is not the and productive e distant proposed | | |
| Summary Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the soft visual impact is summarised below. | | | | | 2.6.2 the significance |

| Viewshed Reference Point | | Direction of View | tance to rest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|------------------|
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | | al Impact iitude | Significance o | of Visual Impact |
| | Medium low | Low- | negligible | Slight | |

| Viewshed Reference Point | | | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|---|-----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| VP18 | N56 at D | Donegal Town | NE | 9.9 km | 13 | |
| Representa | ative of: | A major routeA centre of Population | | | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | Low | | | | |
| Existing View | | This is a slightly elevated view from the N56 northern bypass of Donegal Town where the eye is principally drawn along the fall and rise of the broad road corridor for about 1 km to the east. The road is framed by patches of mature broadleaf vegetation between which can be seen and undulating farmed landscape beyond to the north. Rising in the far distance are the tawny upland ridges of the north-eastern end of the Bluestacks Mountains and the plateau of the Croaghnameal uplands. Upon the latter can be seen the dense array of the small Barnesmore turbines to the left of a foreground clump of trees and the larger Meenadreen turbines to the right of the same trees. | | | | |
| Visual Impa Repowered Barnesmor Windfarm | ł | The proposed repowering turbine their existing counterparts, albeit the clump of foreground trees. W envelope of the proposed develop of them, the proposed turbines w from sub-dominant / minimal for t proposed scheme. | the southernmo hilst the lateral e pment is consid ill increase the v | est turbine is screened extent is comparable, erably increased. Alth <i>i</i> sual presence of Bar | from view behind the vertical ough there is fewer nesmore turbines | |
| | | In this view the existing turbines a under-scaled for the upland terrai combination with the larger Meen the fewer / larger proposed turbin that appears more appropriate to also noticeably larger than the Me or slightly closer and this generat couple of instances of turbine ove degree of visual clutter, albeit with On balance of the visual presenc visual impact is deemed to be Me | in context in whi adreen turbines les are more over the underlying le enadreen turbines a minor degre erlap between the hout the intensite e and aesthetic | ich they sit, especially s further along the ridg ert, but less ambiguou landscape context. No nes which are at a con ree of scale confusion ne proposed turbines, y of the existing turbin | when seen in le. By comparison is and have a scale onetheless, they are mparable distance . There are also a which generates a nes. | |

| Viewshed Refere | ence Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Summary | | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | | | |
| | Low | Medium-low | Slight | | | | |

| Viewshed Reference Point | | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|--|----------|---|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| VP19 | Local ro | ad at Croaghnakern | NNW | 3.7 km | 11 | |
| Representa | tive of: | Local Community Views | 3 | I | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | Medium-low | | | | |
| Existing View Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | | This is a broad upland view across a gently rolling plateau landscape consisting of few simple elements. These include large commercial conifer plantations interspersed with moorland bog / cutover areas and frequently punctuated by the wind turbines from the Meenadreen Windfarm. The Meenadreen turbines are seen at vastly different scales relative to viewing distance with those furthest away to the north appearing at a relatively modest scale. Beyond these can be seen the partial blade sets of some of the Barnesmore turbines above a middle distance skyline ridge. | | | | |
| | | The proposed repowering turbines will be seen with a comparable lateral extent across the section of middle distance ridgeline that the existing Barnesmore turbines currently occupy, albeit those to the left rise above a section of intervening forestry that masks the existing turbines. The vertical envelope is considerably greater for the proposed turbines, which are more prominent within the view, but in the context of much closer and more spatially dominating views of the nearest Meenadreen turbines. Indeed, the scale and positioning of the proposed turbines allows them to blend readily with three of the more distant Meenadreen turbines, such that they form a cohesive cluster. The intensity of wind energy development is noticeably increased, but less so the scale and extent. Thus, the visual presence of Barnesmore turbines is considered to increase from minimal to sub-dominant with the proposed repowering project when seen in this context. Aesthetically, there is considerable merit in the fact that the proposed repowering turbines form a cohesive cluster with the aligned Meenadreen turbines. However, this also generates a degree of visual clutter from turbine overlapping. There may also be some scale / contextual confusion for those who examine the scene more closely, as the proposed turbines have a closely comparable scale to the considerably nearer Meenadreen turbines. This is caused by the variation in turbine height as well as comparative ground levels. | | | | |

| Viewshed Refere | nce Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Overall it is considered that the proposed turbines will have a Low magnitude of visual impact in this scene which is already characterised by fore-to-middle ground wind turbine | | | | |
| Summary | | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significan of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance of | of Visual Impact | | |
| | Medium low | Low | Slight | | | |

| Viewshed F | Reference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|--|-----------|---|---|--|--|
| VP20 | Donegal | Town | NE | 10.5 km | 13 |
| Representa | tive of: | A Centre of Population | | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | Medium low | | | |
| Existing ViewThis is a view from a well frequented playground and community centre fa Donegal town that also affords intermittent views of the distant upland sky northeast in the direction of Barnesmore Gap. Beyond the foreground com a cycle track and residential housing estate. It is above and between the r trees within this housing estate that the distant mountain ridges can be ob Occupying the undulating ridgeline is the dense bristle of Barnesmore turk the foreground community centre building. To the right of the same buildin dense cluster of around 4-5 nearer and larger turbines from the Meenadre masked among intervening tree tops. | | | | skyline to the community facility is he roofs and amenity observed. turbines to the left of ilding can be seen a | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | | The proposed Barnesmore repow their existing counterparts, albeit intervening community centre bui turbines are more prominent with The visual presence of the propo- comparison to the existing turbine dominant / minimal. Aesthetically, the proposed turbin landscape context of broad uplan prickles on the skyline ridge. Alth- blades sets rotating on the skyline disharmony, the turbines are read that of the underlying ridge. | the south-easter Iding. Due to the in this scene, bu sed turbines will as which are con thes appear more and ridge than the ough there is se e ridge which to | ernmost turbine is scre eir increased height, t ut still as a distant bac l be sub-dominant wit nsidered to be in the c e appropriately scaled e existing turbines, wh everal instances of tur gether can generate | eened by the the proposed ckground feature. hin this scene in order of sub- l to their underlying tich appear as dense bine overlap and visual clutter and |
| | | Overall, the magnitude of visual in | mpact is deeme | d to be Low. | |

| Viewshed Refere | ence Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Summary | | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | | | |
| | Medium low | Low | Slight | | | | |

| Viewshed R | eference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|---|----------|--|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| VP21 | N56 at M | lountcharles | NE | 15.7 km | 13 | |
| Representative of: | | A major routeA centre of population | 1 | | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | Medium low | | | | |
| Existing View | | This is a slightly elevated and vast vista to the northeast from the N56 national secondary road as it passes on the inland side of the small settlement of Mountcharles. It should be noted that most of the visual amenity enjoyed from the settlement of Mountcharles is across Donegal Bay to the south. The view in question is dominated by the broad corridor of the N56 road as it sweeps downhill in the direction of Donegal Town. Whilst the landscape immediately beyond the road corridor is generally screened by dense roadside vegetation, above this can be seen a steeply undulating drumlin farming context across the middle ground. In the far distance, the view is contained by the north-eastern extents of the Bluestacks range as it meets the Croaghnameal uplands at the Barnesmore Gap. To the right of the Gap, the dense bristle of Barnesmore turbines can be seen hugging the skyline, whilst further right again are the Meenadreen turbines at a much more noticeable scale on direct alignment with the road corridor. | | | | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | | The proposed repowering turbines are seen with a marginally increased lateral extent and considerably increased vertical extent compared to their existing counterparts. They are seen at a comparable or slightly larger scale than the Meenadreen turbines despite being slightly further away. Together these two developments combine to make wind energy development a more proportionally dominant feature along the distant skyline ridge than in the existing scenario, mainly because the existing turbines are simply less noticeable. Aesthetically, there is a balance between the dense 'picket fence' of existing turbines hugging the skyline ridge and the more overt, but comprehensible view of fewer larger turbines rising well above the skyline. There is a more noticeable degree of turbine overlap for the proposed turbines, but at this distance this is only a minor factor that has little bearing on visual amenity. | | | | |

| Viewshed Refere | ence Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | |
|-----------------|---|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Overall, the increase in visual presence and the resultant contribution to cumulation in conjunction with the Meenadreen Windfarm is considered to result in a Medium magnitude of visual impact. | | | | | |
| Summary | | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | | |
| | Medium low | Medium low | Moderate slig | ht | | |

| Viewshed R | eference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|---|----------|--|---|---|---|--|
| VP22 | N15 at [| Drumbar | NE | 10.1 km | 11 | |
| Representa | tive of: | A major route | - | 1 | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | Low | | | | |
| Existing View | | This is an oblique view to the northeast from a locally raised section of the N15 as it passes through drumlin farmland to the south of Donegal Town. Beyond the steeply rolling foreground context of fields hedgerows and farmsteads rises undulating upper slopes and ridgeline of the Croaghnameal uplands. The extensive Meenadreen Windfarm occupies the upper slopes of the nearest section of this upland area, whilst the smaller and more distant Barnesmore turbines are much less noticeable above the skyline further to the northeast. | | | | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | | The proposed repowering turbin noticeably taller vertical envelop the number of proposed turbines existing turbines as they compare Together these developments of Croaghnameal skyline. Aesthetically, the proposed turbin underlying landform and land us almost under-scaled in this contr with the Meenadreen turbines in is a minor degree of scale and c the proposed turbines and the M viewer. This can serve to reduce in this instance. | e than the existir s, they are more re in perceived s ccupy the majori- nes appear more e pattern than th ext. The propose terms of scale, o ontextual confus leenadreen turbi | ng windfarm. Althoug conspicuous within the cale to the nearer Me ty of the visible section e compatible with the neir existing counterpart ad turbines also appe extent and arrangement ion due to the similar nes despite the latter | h there is only half his scene than the eenadreen turbines. on of the broad scale of the arts which appear ar more compatible ent. However, there perceived scale of being closer to the | |

| Viewshed Refer | ence Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|----------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | On balance of the fact Medium low. | ors outlined above, the ma | gnitude of visual imp | act is deemed to be | |
| Summary | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | |
| | Low | Medium low | Slight | | |

| Viewshed Reference Point | | | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine: | Number of turbine nacelles visible: | |
|---|-----------|--|---|---|---|--|
| VP23 | Local ro | ad west of Laghey | NE | 5.9 km | 0 | |
| Representa | ative of: | Local commu | inity views | | 1 | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | Medium low | | | | |
| Existing View | | This is a locally elevated view from deep within the rural drumlin landscape inland to the east of Donegal Town. It is principally a down-valley south-easterly view enjoyed by a series of dwellings on the uphill (northern) side of the road, whereas the view in question is uphill to the northeast. This is a relatively short distance aspect of the view that is truncated by a combination of terrain and foreground tree-lined hedgerows. There is a glimpse view of the partial blades sets of around 6 of the Meenadreen turbines in the middle distance against a backdrop of both terrain and sky. | | | | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | | north-eastern skyline r more exposed Meena | 2-3 turbines will be potenti idge. These will be barely dreen turbines and this is r ese reasons, the magnitud | noticeable in the con not a key aspect of th | text of the nearer and e view in terms of the | |
| Summary | | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | |
| | | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | |
| | | Medium low Negligible Imperceptible | | | | |

| Viewshed | Reference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | |
|---|-----------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| VP24 | Donega | l Golf Club | NE | 15.4 km | 13 | | |
| Represent | ative of: | An amenity feature | ire | I | | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | , | Medium | | | | | |
| Existing V | iew | This is a picturesque inland view from near the clubhouse of Donegal Golf Club, which occupies a narrow spit that extends into Donegal Bay to the southwest of Donegal Town. Beyond the manicured setting of the golf course in the foreground can be seen the waters of Donegal Bay and forested sunken drumlin islands, which soon give way to farmed drumlin hills on the mainland. These are set against a distant backdrop of the Croaghnameal uplands and Barnesmore Gap. The extensive Meenadreen Windfarm can be seen on upper slopes at the nearest, south-western, end of this upland area, whilst the Barnesmore turbines are barely discernible above a more distant section of skyline to the right of Barnesmore Gap. | | | | | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | | The proposed repowering turbines have a similar lateral extent to the existing Barnesmore turbines, but a noticeably increased vertical extent that makes them more prominent within the view despite their fewer number. They combine with the Meenadreen turbines to occupy a considerable portion of upland skyline, albeit in the context of a broad mountainous skyline across the north-eastern quarters of the view. Whereas the existing turbines are considered to have a minimal visual presence in the context of this extensive view, the proposed turbines are deemed to be sub-dominant. | | | | | |
| | | The comparable scale of the proposed Barnesmore turbines and those of the Meenadreen Windfarm means that they appear compatible, although there may be some degree of scale confusion because the Meenadreen turbines are actually closer. This effect is ameliorated by the contextual separation between the developments as the Meenadreen turbines occur on the upper and mid slopes of the nearest section of uplands and the Barnesmore turbines rise above a more distant ridgetop. There are few instances of turbine overlap in the proposed turbine array and its profile closely mimics the underlying ridge. | | | | | |
| | | Overall, the magnitude of impact is deemed to be Low. | | | | | |
| Summary | | Based on the assessment of visual impact is summa | | outlined in section 11 | 2.6.2 the significance | | |
| | | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | | |
| | | Medium | Low | Slight | | | |

| Viewshed | Reference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | |
|---|------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| VP25 | B72 at S | Shanvia | NW | 18.6 km | 13 | | |
| Represen | tative of: | Major route | | | | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | / | Medium low | | | | | |
| Existing View | | and improved grasslan ridge. In the far distance | cross a shallow upland va d with a relatively extensi the can be seen the ridgelin small-scale turbines of the r windfarm. | ve windfarm occupyin the of the of the Blues | ng the opposing tacks Mountains and | | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | | All of the proposed turbines will be visible above the same section of distant ridgeline as their existing counterparts, but rising in silhouette against the sky where they will have a low degree of contrast, especially at this distance. Because they occur on the same alignment as the nearer windfarm, the effect is an increase in the intensity of wind energy development in this north-westerly section of the view rather than increased extent. Nonetheless, the visual presence of repowered windfarm is noticeably greater than the existing windfarm. | | | | | |
| | | proposed turbines are versus tall / far distance Barnesmore turbines a nearer development. W for those examining the appear cohesive. | relate to the relationship w visible. The relative scale e) results in a perceived r lmost appear as a seamle /hilst this may cause som e relationship, to a casual prs outlined above, the ma | of the turbines (smal eduction in the depth ess continuation into e degree of scale / co observer the relation | I / modest distance of the scene as the the distance of the ontextual confusion ship is likely to | | |
| Summary | | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | | |
| | | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | | |
| | | Medium low | | | | | |

| Viewshed Reference Point | | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| VP26 | VP26 Station Island Pilgrimage site in Lough Derg | | NNW | 9.5 km | 1 |
| Representa | tive of: | A place of spiritual reflection | on and conter | plation | |

| Viewshed Referenc | e Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | |
|---|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | A tourist feature | | | | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | Very High | | | | | |
| Existing View | This view is obtained from the northern side of the island that contains the monastic pilgrimage site in the middle of Lough Derg. It is understood that the monastic island h pilgrims during the summer months who slowly circulate the island visiting the Station the Cross. Whilst it is a relatively contained and introspective setting within the various chapels and buildings on the island, it is strongly influenced by the tranquillity of the w landscape setting. This consists of the waters of Lough Derg throughout the fore-to-m distance and then the forested and farmed hills that enclose the Lough. There are ver built structures visible within the wider landscape setting other than wind turbines penetrating just above the skyline ridges to the north and northeast where they do littl compromise the remote tranquility of the setting. | | | | | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | Whereas only some of the Meenadreen turbines are currently visible above the skyline ridge to the northwest, the blades and blade tips of around 6 of the proposed repowering turbines at Barnesmore will penetrate just above the forested ridge to the north. They will be seen with a low degree of contrast against a backdrop of sky and are less noticeable than the Meenadreen turbines further west along a bare section of the same ridgeline. In this context the visual presence of the proposed turbines is deemed to be sub-dominant to minimal. | | | | | |
| | Aesthetically it is not ideal for turbine blades to rotate on a skyline ridge, particularly without their hubs being visible, but this effect is balanced by the low degree of visual presence and the clearer view of Meenadreen blade sets, which provide clearer context to all of the turbines on the northern skyline. Although the intensity and extent of wind energy development is marginally increased by the proposed turbines, they are adding to an established land use within this view that does not materially detract from the tranquillity experienced on the monastic island. | | | | | |
| On balance of the reasons outlined above, the magnitude of visual impact is o Low-negligible. | | | | | | |
| Summary | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | | |
| | Very high | Low-negligible | Moderate-sli | ght | | |

| Viewshed F | Reference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|---|-----------|--|--|---|---|--|
| VP26a | Visitors | Centre Pier at Lough Derg | NNW | 10.1 km | 6 | |
| Representa | ative of: | A place of spirit A tourist feature | ual reflection and conte | mplation | | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | High | | | | |
| Existing Vi | ew | The viewing context at this location is very similar to that described above in respect of the view from the monastic island in the middle of Lough Derg. That being, the Lough and several small wooded islands occupying the fore-to-middle distance and enclosure provided thereafter by farmed forested and moorland slopes below a modest ridge that hosts 6 turbines from the Meenadreen Windfarm. However, the main difference is that the principle focus of this view is the highly developed monastic island itself which serves as the ornate centrepiece of the Lough. This view is enjoyed by the majority of people who visit Lough Derg, but not the monastic island and this is why two views have been used to represent Lough Derg as a visual receptor. | | | | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | | This view is set back from the skyline ridge to a greater extent than from the monastic island and therefore, there is a slightly more exposed view of the blades and partial blade sets of 10 of the proposed repowering turbines above the forested section of ridge to the north. However, they are a minor background feature in the context of the view of the monastic island and even the Meenadreen turbines, which are closer to the alignment of the monastic island. For these reasons, the visual presence of the proposed turbines is deemed to be sub-dominant to minimal within the overall view. | | | | |
| | | Although the view of turb can be ambiguous, this e Barnesmore turbines (ne proposed turbines repres development around the development that conflic | effect is ameliorated by ear full blade sets) and t sent the minor intensific perimeter skyline of Lo | the clearer view of no he Meenadreen turbin ation and spread of a ugh Derg. However, t | orthernmost nes further south. The familiar form of his is not a form of | |
| | | For the reasons outlined negligible. | above, the magnitude of | the magnitude of visual impact is deemed to be Low- | | |
| Summary | | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | |
| | | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | |
| | | High | Low-negligible | Slight | | |

| Viewshed | Reference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|---|------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| VP27 | R232 at | t Trumman West | NNE | 10.3 km | 4 | |
| Represen | tative of: | A major route | 2 | | | |
| Receptor Sensitivit | y | Low | | | | |
| Existing View | | foreground is relativel the distance. The land to mountain moorland Meenadreen turbines | iew along the R232 road of y enclosed, the landscape I cover within view alters f and forestry in the distan can be seen rising above de sets of 4 of the Barnes north. | e of the Croaghnamea from rough grazing in ce. The blades sets o a middle distance ridg | I uplands opens up in the foreground setting f around 8-10 of the geline, whilst the | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | | The blade set of one of the proposed repower turbines and the partial blade sets and blades of around 7 more will be seen rising above the same section of distant ridgeline as their existing counterparts, albeit with a wider lateral extent. The vertical envelope is more noticeably increased and the proposed turbines are presented at similar scale to the nearer Meenadreen turbines, Thus, wind energy development is now perceived to occupy most of the distant skyline in this channelled view. | | | | |
| | | Aesthetically, there is a much more cohesive balance of scale between the proposed turbines and the Meenadreen turbines. Indeed, they read as part of the same extensive development. There is some potential for scale / contextual confusion because the proposed turbines are further away, but present at a similar scale to the Meenadreen turbines. However, this effect is limited by the difficulty in reading the context and distance to the various skyline ridges because much of the intervening landscape is screened from view. | | | | |
| | | Overall, the magnitud | e of visual impact is deem | ed to be Low. | | |
| Summary | , | Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | |
| | | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | |
| | | | | | | |

| Viewshed Reference Point | | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | |
|--------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| VP28 | P28 Local road at Barr of Ballynacarnick | | | 14.3 km | 4 |
| Representative of: • An | | Amenity Feature (Kingf | isher Cycle Rout | te) | |

| Viewshed Reference | e Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Receptor Sensitivity | Medium | | | | | |
| Existing ViewThis is a vast view to the north across a tundra-like plateau landscape of rough moorland, and forestry interspersed with occasional farmsteads and wind turb fore-to-middle ground is principally a low intensity farmed landscape and the b containing the other upland land uses. The uppermost ridges of the Bluestack around Barnesmore Gap can be seen rising above intervening ridgelines in th distance, however the existing Barnesmore turbines are not visible. Instead th energy development in view consists of around 25 of the Meenadreen turbines tips to full machines beyond the middle ground of this view. | | | | | | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | Nearly all of the proposed Barnesmore repowering turbines will be visible from here to varying degrees ranging from one full blade set to just blade tips. These are seen through and beyond the Meenadreen turbines, but at a comparable scale (due to larger dimensions) such that they appear as part of a single development. Thus, the proposed turbines add to the intensity of distant wind energy development and marginally to the vertical imprint, but overall, this is a very minor increase in the visual presence of such development in this section of the view. | | | | | |
| | Meenadreen turbines ou the comparable scale of the direction of the view feature of the view, but at this location. | considered that the cohesion between the proposed turbines and the bines outweighs any sense of scale or contextual confusion arising from scale of turbines that are actually separated by a reasonable distance in he view. The proposed turbines add to the intensity of an established w, but without particular consequence for the visual amenity experienced butlined above, the magnitude of visual impact is deemed to be Low- | | | | |
| Summary | negligible. Based on the assessment criteria and matrices outlined in section 11.2.6.2 the significance | | | | | |
| | of visual impact is sumn | of visual impact is summarised below. | | | | |
| | Visual Receptor Sensitivity | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact | | |
| | Medium | Low-negligible | Slight-imper | ceptible | | |

| Viewshed F | Reference | Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|-------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| VP29 Procklis Road at Bigwood | | | NW | 17.1 km | 3 |
| Representa | ative of: | Amenity Feature (K | Kingfisher Cycle Rout | te) | |
| Receptor Sensitivity | | Medium low | | | |

| Viewshed Referenc | e Point | Direction of View | Distance to nearest turbine | Number of turbine nacelles visible |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Existing View | Kingfisher cycle rout scene across good c e of around 6 turbine uphill view to the nort | quality pasture within s from the | | |
| Visual Impact of Repowered Barnesmore Windfarm | Only the blades of a coupl between sections of skylin observer. Even if they are consequently, the magnitu | e vegetation and are v , they will not have a m | ery unlikely to be not aterial bearing on vis | iced by a casual |
| Summary | Based on the assessment of visual impact is summa | | utlined in section 11. | 2.6.2 the significance |
| Visual Receptor Sensitivity | | Visual Impact Magnitude | Significance | of Visual Impact |
| | Medium low | Negligible | egligible Imperceptible | |